

## **UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. Ε

09/061,318

APPLICATION NO.

04/16/98

BERGMAN

1746

244/277

IM62/0229

LYON & LYON LLP 633 W FIFTH ST., SUITE 4700 LOS ANGELES CA 90071-2066

FILING DATE

**EXAMINER** STINSON, F PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 

DATE MAILED:

02/29/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/061,318

Applicant(s)

BERGMAN ET AL.

Examiner

FRANKIE L. STINSON

Group Art Unit 1746

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 24-32, 35-42, and 45-86	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the proposed drawing correction, filed on The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	is approved disapproved.  under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  of the priority documents have been
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/061,318

Art Unit: 1746

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the A. claimed invention: (a) the species of fig. 1; (b) the species of fig. 2; © the species of fig. 4; (e) the species of fig 5 and (f) the species of fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 24 for example, is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/061,318 Page 3

Art Unit: 1746

B. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

C. A telephone call was made to the office of Lyon and Lyon on Feb. 25, 2000 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

D. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Frankie L. Stinson whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F (1st week) from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm and T-F (2nd

week) from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(703) 308-7719.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

FRANKIE L. STINSON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 3400

Prankie L. Stinson